# Copolymerization of Ethylene and 1-Dodecene Catalyzed by Novel Low-Ti-Loading Ziegler–Natta Catalysts and Their Microstructures

# Zhenrong Zhao,<sup>1</sup> Li Wang,<sup>1</sup> Jianfeng Wang,<sup>2</sup> Xiaochen Dong,<sup>1</sup> Haojie Yu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Polymer Reaction Engineering, College of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China <sup>2</sup>Ningbo University of Technology, Ningbo 315011, People's Republic of China

Received 1 April 2006; accepted 3 November 2006 DOI 10.1002/app.25756 Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

**ABSTRACT:** Ethylene–1-dodecene copolymers were synthesized with novel low-Ti-loading (0.8 wt %) Ziegler–Natta catalysts prepared by a one-pot balling method. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR was applied for the quantitative determination of the composites and microstructures of the resultant ethylene–1-dodecene copolymers. The results showed that (1) the ethylene–1-dodecene copolymers obtained from both the MgCl<sub>2</sub>-supported low-Ti-loading Ziegler–Natta catalyst and the MgCl<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>-bisupported low-Ti-loading Ziegler–Natta catalyst at a 0.6*M* 1-dodecene concentration had high levels of 1-dodecene

and (2) most of the 1-dodecene units were separated by ethylene units in the copolymer chains obtained from the  $MgCl_2$ supported low-Ti-loading Ziegler–Natta catalyst, whereas there were some continuous 1-dodecene repeat units in the copolymer chain obtained from the  $MgCl_2/SiO_2$ -bisupported low-Ti-loading Ziegler–Natta catalyst. © 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 104: 1200–1204, 2007

Key words: catalysts; copolymerization; microstructure; polyolefins

### **INTRODUCTION**

Research on the copolymerization of ethylene and other high olefins is becoming one of the most active research fields.<sup>1–4</sup> Through copolymerization, polymers with different structures and significant properties can be obtained.<sup>5–8</sup> The difference in the microstructures of ethylene–1-dodecene (E–D) copolymers greatly affects the properties of the obtained copolymers.<sup>9–11</sup> Recently, we developed a novel one-pot balling method for preparing novel Ziegler–Natta (Z–N) catalysts.<sup>12–16</sup> This study focuses on E–D copolymerizations catalyzed by low-Tiloading catalysts [MgCl<sub>2</sub>-supported low-Ti-loading Ziegler–Natta (M Z–N) and MgCl<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>-bisupported low-Ti-loading Ziegler–Natta (M/S Z–N) catalysts] to determine the effect of this kind of catalyst on the composition and structure of the resultant copolymer.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### Materials

Anhydrous MgCl<sub>2</sub> was used as received. SiO<sub>2</sub> was calcinated at 600°C for 4 h and then cooled slowly under

Contract grant sponsor: Science and Technology Commission of Zhejiang University.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 104, 1200–1204 (2007) © 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



a nitrogen flow. Hexane was refluxed over a Na–K alloy before use. AlEt<sub>3</sub> was obtained commercially and used as a cocatalyst without further purification. Petroleum ether was dried over 4-Å molecular sieves before use. Polymerization-grade ethylene was further purified by passage through two columns of preactivated 4-Å molecular sieves. Extra-pure-grade (99.99%) nitrogen was dried by passage through two columns of preactivated 4-Å molecular sieves to remove the residual moisture and oxygen. 1-Dodecene was purchased from Aldrich, purified by the addition of sodium, and kept under a dry nitrogen atmosphere.

#### Preparation of the low-Ti-loading Z-N catalysts

The procedure for preparing the M/S Z–N catalyst was divided into three stages (Fig. 1): grinding a mixture of MgCl<sub>2</sub> with SiO<sub>2</sub>, grinding the mixture after the addition of a TiCl<sub>4</sub> solution in hexane, and grinding after the removal of hexane. All manipulations were carried out under a dry, oxygen-free nitrogen atmosphere.

The route for preparing the M Z–N catalyst was the same as that for the M/S Z–N catalyst, except that only MgCl<sub>2</sub> was ground in the first stage.

#### **Copolymerization procedure**

Ethylene and 1-dodecene copolymerizations were carried out in a 100-mL, three-necked glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer. The required amounts of

Correspondence to: L. Wang (opl\_wl@dial.zju.edu.cn).

Contract grant sponsor: Ningbo Science and Technology Program.



Figure 1 Preparation of the M/S Z–N catalyst with the one-pot ball-milling method.

petroleum ether, 1-dodecene, AlEt<sub>3</sub>, and the catalyst were introduced sequentially. Ethylene was continuously supplied to keep the pressure at 1 atm, and the ethylene consumption was detected and recorded online by a monitoring system. The copolymerization reactions were quenched after 0.5 h, and the products were precipitated by the addition of acidified alcohol. The resultant copolymers were washed with alcohol, filtered, and dried *in vacuo* to a constant weight.

### Copolymer characterization

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of the resultant polymers were recorded on a Bruker DMX-500 at 90°C. The ethylene and 1-dodecene copolymer solutions were uniformly prepared (10 wt %) in *o*-dichlorobenzene- $d_4$ .

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In our previous works,<sup>12–16</sup> we have found that it is effective to control the Ti loadings of catalysts prepared by a one-pot ball-milling method.

As the surface structures of MgCl<sub>2</sub> are different from those of SiO<sub>2</sub>, some of the Mg component will probably react chemically with the surface hydroxyls of SiO<sub>2</sub> during ball milling:<sup>17</sup>

$$-$$
<sup>I</sup><sub>si</sub>-OH + MgCl<sub>2</sub> ---- - <sup>I</sup><sub>si</sub>OMgCl + HCl

Therefore, the surface structures of the M/S Z–N catalyst may be more complicated than those of the M Z–N catalyst (see Fig. 2).

Both the MgCl<sub>2</sub>-supported and MgCl<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>-bisupported Z–N catalysts have high copolymerization activities and significant comonomer effects, as

shown in Table I. The catalytic activity is maximum at a polymerization temperature of 50°C or so. A possible reason for the maximum activity is as follows. With an increase in the polymerization temperature, the polymerization rate constant increases, and thus the catalytic activity increases. However, with an increase in the polymerization temperature, the solubility of the ethylene monomer in petroleum ether decreases, and this causes the reduction of the catalytic activity. Figures 3 and 4 show the kinetic curves of the consumed ethylene in E-D copolymerization catalyzed by the M Z-N and M/S Z-N catalysts, respectively. Figure 5 shows the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of the E-D copolymers obtained from the low-Ti-loading (0.8 wt %) Z–N catalyst at a 1-dodecene concentration of 0.6 mol/L. The complete chemical-shift assignments encompassing all possible arrangements of ethylene and 1-dodecene in the E-D copolymers were calculated with the Grant-Paul formulation<sup>18,19</sup> and compared with those obtained from the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of the E-D copolymers synthesized by the low-Ti-loading Z–N catalyst; they are all shown in Table II.

Table III lists the triad, dyad, and monad distributions in the E–D copolymers obtained with the M Z–N and M/S Z–N catalysts. Table IV shows the average sequence lengths for ethylene ( $n_E$ ) and 1-dodecene ( $n_D$ ) and the reactivity ratios for ethylene ( $r_E$ ) and 1-dodecene ( $r_D$ ) calculated from <sup>13</sup>C-NMR sequence data. The contents of 1-dodecene in both E–D copolymer chains were high and reached 16.7 and 17.8%, respectively; the contents of the [DD] dyads and [DDD] dyads (triads) in the E–D copolymers prepared with the M/S Z–N catalyst were higher than the contents in the copolymers prepared with the M Z–N catalyst, indicating that there were more continuous 1-dodecene repeat



Figure 2 Plausible structures of active centers in the supported Z-N catalysts.

		Activity (10 <sup>3</sup> g of polymer/g of Ti h)		
1-Dodecene (mol/L)	Temperature (°C)	M Z–N catalyst	M/S Z–N catalyst	
0.0	50	7.4	5.2	
0.1	50	10.8	7.4	
0.2	50	14.6	8.5	
0.4	50	18.4	9.4	
0.6	50	24.4	10.9	
0.2	40	_	7.6	
0.2	60		6.6	

TABLE I Activity of E–D Copolymerizations Catalyzed by the M Z–N and M/S Z–N Catalysts

Polymerization conditions: time = 30 min; [Ti] = 1.0 mmol/ L; [Al] / [Ti] = 200.

units in the chains of the copolymers obtained with the M/S Z–N catalyst, and there were more isolated 1-dodecene units in the chains of the copolymers obtained with the M Z–N catalyst. It is reasonable to assign this difference to the difference between the active centers in the M Z–N catalyst and M/S Z–N catalyst.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Novel MgCl<sub>2</sub>-supported and MgCl<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>-bisupported Z–N catalysts with low Ti loadings were prepared with a new one-pot preparation route. With these catalysts, ethylene and 1-dodecene were copolymerized with high activity and with an evident comonomer effect, and the resultant copolymers had high contents of 1-dodecene.



**Figure 3** Kinetic curves of E–D copolymerizations catalyzed by the M Z–N catalyst (polymerization conditions: temperature = 50°C; [Ti] = 1 mmol/L; [Al]/[Ti] = 200).  $R_p$  is the rate of polymerization, and *t* is the time. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]



**Figure 4** Kinetic curves of E–D copolymerizations catalyzed by the M/S Z–N catalyst (polymerization conditions: temperature = 50°C; [Ti] = 1 mmol/L; [Al] / [Ti] = 200).  $R_p$  is the rate of polymerization, and *t* is the time. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

Complete chemical-shift assignments encompassing all possible arrangements of ethylene and 1dodecene in the E–D copolymers were calculated with the Grant–Paul formulation and obtained from the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of the E–D copolymers synthesized by the low-Ti-loading Z–N catalysts. According



**Figure 5** <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of E–D copolymers synthesized with (a) the M Z–N catalyst and (b) the M/S Z–N catalyst (polymerization conditions: temperature =  $50^{\circ}$ C; time = 30 min; [Ti] = 1.0 mmol/L; [Al] / [Ti] = 200; [1-Dodecene] = 0.6 mol/L).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science DOI 10.1002/app

			Chemical shift			
Region	Carbon	Sequence	<sup>13</sup> C-NMR	Calculation		
A	αα	DDDD	40.94	41.50		
	αα	DDDE	40.44	41.08		
	$\alpha \alpha$	EDDE	39.76	40.66		
В	CH	EDE	37.87	36.47		
С	αγ	DDED	35.47	35.97		
	10B	DDD	35.47	35.83		
	αγ	EDED	35.20	35.55		
	$\alpha \delta^+$	DDEE	35.20	35.55		
	10B	DDE	34.70	35.41		
	$\alpha \delta^+$	EDEE	34.21	35.03		
	10B	EDE	34.21	34.99		
	CH	DDE	33.15	34.40		
	CH		32.05	32.33		
D	38	EDE + DDE + DDD	32.05	32.23		
D	$\gamma\gamma$	DEED	30.90	31.04		
	γo		30.37	30.62		
	0D 7P	EDE + DDE + DDD	30.37	30.38		
	$^{2}+2^{+2}$	EDE + DDE + DDD	20.37	20.27		
	0 0 6B	$(EEE)_n$	29.87	30.20		
	0D 5B		29.07	30.10		
	3D 4B	EDE + DDE + DDD EDE + DDE + DDD	29.475	29 74		
F	4D 9B		27.475	29.74		
L	βδ <sup>+</sup>	DDFF	27.14	28.24		
	9B	DDF	27.07	28.24		
	$\beta \delta^+$	FDFF	26.90	28.13		
	9B	EDE	26.90	28.09		
F	ßß	DDEDD	24.25	26.28		
1	вв	DDEDE	24.25	26.17		
	вв	EDEDE	24.25	26.06		
G	2B	EDE + DDE + DDD	22.76	22.83		
Η	1B	EDE + DDE + DDD	14.01	13.74		
	$\alpha \delta^+ \beta \delta^+ \gamma \gamma$	βδ+ αδ+ αα αν ββ αν	$\alpha\delta^+$ $\beta\delta^+$ $\gamma\delta^+$ $\delta^+\delta^+$	5+		
	-c-c-c-c-	c_c_c_c_c_c_c_c_c	-c-c-c-c-c-			
	108		10B			
	Ç 9B	Ċ 9B Ċ 9B	Ċ <sup>9B</sup>			
	C 8B	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ C \end{array} \\ 8B \\ C \end{array} \\ 8B \\ C \\ 8B \\ B \\ $	C 8B			
	C 7B	C 7B C 7B	L 7B			
	ľ a	l n l n	ľ "			
	C 6B	Совсов	C OB			
	¢ 5B	C 5B C 5B	¢ 5B			
	C 4B	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ C \\ 4B \\ \end{array}$	C 4B			
	L 3B	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3B \end{bmatrix}$	L 3B			
			Ĭ "p			
	C 2B	C 2B Č 2B	C 2B			
	C 1B	C IB C IB	C 1B			

 TABLE II

 Chemical-Shift Assignments in the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Spectra of the E–D Copolymers

 TABLE III

 Triad, Dyad, and Monad Distributions of the E–D Copolymers

Sample	EEE	EED	EDE	EDD	DED	DDD	EE	ED	DD	Е	D
a b	56.5 60.6	23.3 19.8	12.3 7.9	2.4 2.2	5.0 4.5	0.4 5.0	68.2 70.5	30.2 23.4	1.6 6.1	83.3 82.2	16.7 17.8

Sample a was prepared with the M Z–N catalyst; sample b was prepared with the M/S Z–N catalyst. For the polymerization conditions, see Figure 5.

TABLE IV							
Average Sequence Lengths and Reactivity Ratios for t	he						
E–D Copolymers							

Sample	$n_E$	$n_D$	$r_E$	$r_D$	$r_E \mathbf{r}_D$
а	5.5	1.1	33.4	0.0072	0.24
b	7.0	1.5	44.6	0.035	1.56

Sample a was prepared with the M Z–N catalyst; sample b was prepared with the M/S Z–N catalyst. For the polymerization conditions, see Figure 5.

to the triad distribution, dyad distribution, and monad distribution, it could be presumed that the 1dodecene unit was isolated basically in the copolymer chains synthesized by the M Z–N catalyst, whereas more continuous 1-dodecene repeat units existed in the copolymer synthesized by the M/S Z–N catalyst. It is reasonable to assign this difference to the difference between the active centers in the M Z–N catalyst and M/S Z–N catalyst.

# References

 Ye, Z. Y.; Wang, L.; Feng, L. F.; Gu, X. P.; Chen, H. H.; Zhang, P. Y.; Pan, J.; Jiang, S.; Feng, L. X. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 2002, 40, 3112.

- Zohuri, G. H.; Mortazavi, M. M.; Jamjah, R.; Ahmadjo, S. J Appl Polym Sci 2004, 93, 2597.
- Galland, G. B.; Seferin, M.; Mauler, R. S.; Santos, J. H. Z. D. Polym Int 1999, 48, 660.
- Kissin, Y. V.; Mirabella, F. M.; Meverden, C. C. J Polym Chem 2005, 43, 4351.
- 5. Lopez-Manchado, M. A.; Valle, M.; Sapunar, R.; Quijada, R. J Appl Polym Sci 2004, 92, 3008.
- Kim, J. D.; Soares, J. B. P. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 2000, 38, 1417.
- Fan, Z. Q.; Yasin, T.; Feng, L. X. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 2000, 38, 4299.
- Wang, L.; Yuan, Y. L.; Ge, C. X.; Wang, Y. X.; Ji, B.; Pan, J.; Ye, Z. Y.; Feng, L. X. J Appl Polym Sci 2000, 76, 1583.
- 9. Rangwala, H. A.; Lana, I. G. D.; Szymura, J. A.; Fiedorow, R. M. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 1996, 34, 3379.
- 10. Miyata, H.; Yamaguchi, M.; Akashi, M. Polymer 2001, 42, 5763.
- 11. Czaja, K.; Bialek, M. Polymer 2001, 42, 2289.
- 12. Wang, J. F.; Wang, L.; Ma, Z. L.; Wang, W. Q.; Jiang, G. H. Chin. Pat. CN200410017170.7 (2004).
- 13. Wang, J. F.; Wang, L.; Zhao, Z. R.; Wang, W. Q.; Chen, T. J Zhejiang Univ Sci 2004, 5(8), 912.
- 14. Wang, J. F.; Wang, L.; Feng, L. F.; Gu, X. P.; Yu, H. J. Polym-Plast Technol Eng 2005, 44, 501.
- Wang, J. F.; Wang, L.; Feng, L. F.; Gu, X. P.; Yu, H. J. J Polym Mater 2004, 21, 401.
- Wang, J. F.; Wang, L.; Zhao, Z. R.; Chen, T.; Yu, H. J. Chin. Pat. CN200410017171.1 (2004).
- 17. Lu, H. L.; Xiao, S. J Makromol Chem 1993, 194, 421.
- 18. Grant, D. M.; Paul, E. G. J Am Chem Soc 1964, 86, 2984.
- 19. Randall, J. C. Rev Macromol Chem Phys 1989, 29, 201.